

# STATUTORY INTERPRETATION ESSAY

*Introduction* Statutory interpretation is process of interpreting statutes by the judges. The word of interpretation gives us thought that A.

There are many reasons why the meaning may be unclear. The different interpretations obtained from the statute are then examined to determine which interpretation applies to the statutory scheme. Definition Of Statutory Interpretation And The Interpretation Of A Statute - When implementing statute laws, Judges and the courts may use a method called statutory interpretation to decipher the meaning of the words, and what law the statute is asking them to apply before making their judgment. There are two views showing how far this rule should be taken. Many questions arise therefore - should the judges refuse to follow the clear words of Parliament, how do they know what parliament's intentions were? Any one of these rules can be referred to if Statutory interpretation is necessary in a legal case, where a Statutes meaning and purpose is not entirely clear There are two approaches: Literal and Purposive. Should judges examine each word literally or should it be accepted that an Act of Parliament cannot cover every situation and that the meaning of words cannot always be exact? The meaning of a word can change over time which can is illustrated in the case of *Cheeseman v DPP* where the defendant "willfully and indecently exposed his person in a street to the annoyance of passengers. The meaning of the general words has to be limited to something similar to the meaning of the particular word. An illustration of this can be seen in the case of *Powell v Kempton Park Racecourse Company* where the court were trying to decide whether an Tattersal Ring, an open air enclosure, came under "other places. The court has nothing to do with the question whether the legislature has committed an absurdity. *Albania, Nicaragua vs.* Over time, the judiciary has developed four rules to help in the interpretation of a statute. Despite these aids many cases come before the courts because there is a dispute over the meaning of an Act of Parliament. This paper from studentcentral. Professor John Willis analysed these principles in his article "Statute Interpretation in a Nutshell" Search our content:. This conflict between the literal approach and purposive approach is one of the major issues in statutory interpretation. Hence, without the statutory interpretation, a lot of judges would have trouble in deciding their judgments in deciding a case. This can be seen in the case of *Re Sigsworth* where the court was not prepared to let the defendant, a murderer, benefit for the crime he had committed so it was held that the literal rule should not apply and instead the golden rule was used to prevent the repugnant situation in the case. Aids available: There are two types of aids available for statutory interpretation, they are Internal aids, which are included within the act, or External aids that are not included within the act This is illustrated in the case of *Whitely v Chappell* [ ] 4 QB where it was held that the defendant was not guilty since a dead person is not, in the literal meaning of the word, "entitled to vote". This idea was expressed by Lord Esther in the case of *R v Judge of the City of London Court* [ ] 1 QB where he stated: "If the words of an act are clear then you must follow them even though they lead to a manifest absurdity. This branch is charge enforcing our nation's laws. Abner Doubleday is the man responsible for actually inventing the game of baseball, but it is Alexander Cartwright that is responsible for creating the guidelines for the game Arising from the case of *Haydon Loosely*, the protection of children from accidents occurring from the named "toys. In such a case the court will invoke the golden rule to modify the words of the statute in order to avoid this problem.